

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/675,489	09/30/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14305US02	6006	
23446 MCANDREW	7590 05/13/200 'S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAM	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MADISON STREET			RYAN, PATRICK A		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, II	.60661	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		2623			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/13/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/675,489	KARAOGUZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PATRICK A. RYAN	2623		

	PATRICK A. RYAN	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>Since reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ul>	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I		00/->				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	thin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).				
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
<ul> <li>4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejections under 112 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph - daims 1, 11, and 21.</li> <li>6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely field amendment canceling the</li> </ul>						
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		be entered and an e	planation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below of appended.					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:						
/Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623	/P. A. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 2623					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments fail to overcome all of the grounds of rejection.

Applicant has provided clarifying support for the limitation "wherein said announcement is displayed on said television screen without any input from the user" with reference to Paragraph [34] in view of Paragraph [41] of the Specification, therefore the rejection of Claims 1, 11, and 21 under 35 USC 112 first paragraph has been withdrawn.

In addition, Applicant's arguments (Page 14 of Reply to Final Office Action) regarding the inoperability of the limitation "...without any input from said user' are persuasive because, as Applicant states, the display of an announcement on a television screen would require the television to be in a powered state, and therefore operable. The rejection under 35 USC 112 second paragraph has therefore been withfrawn.

The Examiner uphoids arguments made in reference to Proehl's teaching of claimed limitation "generating, remotely from a user's home, an announcement," (as quoted in Reply to Final Office Action Page 15). In addition, Applicant's argument that Proehl's Bleeding Function 278 generates the announcement at the user's home is not persuasive because of the following. Proehl's Bleeding Function 278 is part of Internet Processing Element 202, within receiver 12, for which receives input. "From the Internet" as shown in Fig. 2C. Proehl states that "Integrated receiver 12 also receives Internet signals from Internet Service Provider 24 and performs operations (e.g., decompressing and/or decoding routines) on the Internet signals." (Col. 4 Lines 33-46). Therefore Bleeding Function 278 manipulate the announcement, but the announcement is originally supplied from Internet Service Provider 24, which is shown remotely from the user's location in Fig. 1 of Proehl. Furthermore, the Examiner upholids arguments made in reference to Proehl's teading of the claimed limitation "wherein said announcement is displayed on said television screen without any input from the user' (as quoted in Reply to Final Office Action Page 17). It is the Examiner upholids arguments made in reference Proehl's teaching of the claimed further of the proehl's display of an announcement by any of scrolling Title Region 566 is not a direct function of a user's input by way of "Home" (key 308 because the announcement is generated and controlled by the content provider. If no announcement is available from the content provider than no announcement will be displayed to the user existives the "Home" key 308. It is therefore possible for the user to be watching television in Home screen 550 and, at a later point in time, receive an announcement in Ticker Region 566 from a content provider.